

Affirmed and Opinion filed January 20, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-98-00901-CR

GENERAL SAMUEL WATKINS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 182nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 746,111**

O P I N I O N

Appellant, General Samuel Watkins, was indicted for the offense of aggravated robbery. Appellant pleaded guilty to the offense and true to both enhancement paragraphs. The court assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for thirty years. Appellant gave timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why

there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex.Crim.App.1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. As of this date, no pro se brief has been filed and the time permitted to file a brief has expired.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and we grant appellate counsel's motion to withdraw.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed January 20, 2000.

Panel consists of Senior Justices Cannon, Lee, and Hutson-Dunn.*

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

* Senior Justices Bill Cannon, Norman Lee, and D. Camille Hutson-Dunn sitting by assignment.