

Affirmed and Opinion filed February 1, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-00666-CR

ROBERT H. DAVIS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 339th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 834,785**

O P I N I O N

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of delivery of a controlled substance enhanced with two prior felony convictions. Appellant entered a plea of guilty with a recommendation of four years in prison from the State. The trial court followed the plea bargain agreement, found the enhancement paragraphs true, and assessed punishment at confinement for four years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The

brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 1, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Wittig and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).