

Affirmed and Opinion filed March 16, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01160-CR

JOSE RUBEN GARCIA, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 180th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 811,590**

OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of indecency with a child. Appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation. Upon completion of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court found appellant guilty as charged in the indictment and assessed punishment at confinement for ten years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable points of error to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed March 16, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).