

Affirmed and Opinion filed April 12, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-00510-CR

HARRY JAMES ROBOL, JR., Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 23rd District Court
Brazoria County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 36,180**

OPINION

Appellant appeals his conviction by a jury for the offense of possession of more than four ounces but less than five pounds of marijuana. The court assessed punishment at confinement for two years in the State Jail Division, Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573

S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 12, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Hudson and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).