

Affirmed and Opinion filed April 26, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NOS. 14-00-01528-CR & 14-00-01529-CR

RICARDO DELAGARZA, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 182nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 693,478 & 693,479**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty without a punishment recommendation to the felony offenses of possession of cocaine and delivery of cocaine. The trial court deferred adjudication of guilt and placed appellant on probation for ten years. The court subsequently adjudicated appellant's guilt in each case and sentenced him to fifteen years' imprisonment.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which counsel concludes that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386

U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief in each case and agree that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed in each case and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 26, 2001

Panel consists of Justices Edelman and Frost and Senior Chief Justice Murphy.¹

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

¹ Senior Chief Justice Paul Murphy sitting by assignment.