

**Affirmed and Opinion filed May 10, 2001.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-00-00552-CR**  
-----

**WINFRED JEROME JOHNSON, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 339th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 801,224**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the felony offense of murder without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at life imprisonment in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why

there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. Appellant has filed a response alleging numerous points of error.

We have carefully reviewed the record, counsel's brief and appellant's response and agree with appellate counsel that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the briefs would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 10, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Fowler, Yates and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).