

Dismissed and Opinion filed May 31, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00653-CR

NO. 14-99-00813-CR

KARLA DALE HILL, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 182nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 785950**

OPINION

Appellant, Karla Dale Hill, pled guilty to aggravated robbery with an agreed punishment of forty-five years' confinement. Because we have no jurisdiction over this appeal, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a notice of appeal that did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Rule 25.2(b)(3) provides that when an appeal is from a judgment rendered on a defendant's plea of guilty or *nolo contendere* and the punishment assessed does not exceed the punishment

recommended by the State and agreed to by the defendant, the notice of appeal must: (1) specify that the appeal is for a jurisdictional defect; (2) specify that the substance of the appeal was raised by written motion and ruled on before trial; or (3) state that the trial court granted permission to appeal. *Id.* Because the time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d 408, 413–14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Because appellant’s notice of appeal did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3), we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant’s issues. *See Cooper v. State*, No. 1100-99, slip op. at 8, 2001 WL 321579 at *1 (Tex. Crim. App. April 4, 2001)(holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

/s/ Don Wittig
Justice

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 31, 2001.
Panel consists of Justices Yates, Wittig, and Frost.
Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).