

Affirmed and Opinion filed June 21, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-01369-CR

WILLIE EARL BURTON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 338th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 841,969**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of not guilty to the offense of possession of a controlled substance, namely, more than one gram but less than four grams of cocaine. Appellant pled true to two enhancement paragraphs. After a jury trial, appellant was convicted of the offense as charged and sentenced as a habitual offender to confinement for twenty-eight years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of

the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed June 21, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Edelman and Frost and Senior Chief Justice Murphy.¹

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

¹ Senior Chief Justice Paul C. Murphy sitting by assignment.