

Dismissed and Opinion filed August 23, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NOS. 14-01-00586-CR
& 14-01-00587-CR**

RODNEY KIRK BROUGHTON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 179th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 869,841 & 869,842**

OPINION

Appellant pled guilty to the offenses of possession of less than one gram of cocaine and delivery, by actual transfer, of less than one gram of cocaine. On February 22, 2001, in accordance with the terms of a plea bargain agreement with the State, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for two years on each offense, with the sentences to run concurrently. Because we have no jurisdiction over these appeals, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a pro se general notice of appeal in each case that did not comply

with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Rule 25.2(b)(3) provides that when an appeal is from a judgment rendered on a defendant's plea of guilty or nolo contendere and the punishment assessed does not exceed the punishment recommended by the State and agreed to by the defendant, the notice of appeal must: (1) specify that the appeal is for a jurisdictional defect; (2) specify that the substance of the appeal was raised by written motion and ruled on before trial; or (3) state that the trial court granted permission to appeal. *Id.* The time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired; thus, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d 408, 413-14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Because appellant's notice of appeal did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3), we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed August 23, 2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Hudson and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).