

Affirmed and Opinion filed August 24, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01079-CV

IN THE MATTER OF S. R.

On Appeal from the 315th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 99-03635-J

OPINION

A jury found that appellant, a juvenile, had engaged in delinquent conduct for assaulting a public servant. *See* TEX. PEN. CODE ANN. § 22.01(a)(1), (b)(1) (Vernon Supp. 2000); TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. § 54.03 (Vernon Supp. 2000). The trial court placed appellant in a Harris County boot camp for one year. *See* TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. §§ 54.04, 59.003, 59.006 (Vernon Supp. 2000).

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

The Texas Supreme Court has held that the *Anders* procedure applies to juvenile proceedings. *In re D.A.S.*, 973 S.W.2d 296, 299 (Tex. 1998) (orig. proceeding).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to the juvenile and to his mother. The juvenile and his mother were advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a response. As of this date, no response has been filed.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed August 24, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).