

Affirmed and Opinion filed September 27, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NOS. 14-00-01026-CR &
14-00-01027-CR**

JOSE RODRIGUEZ, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 179th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 813,992 & 813,993**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant was indicted for the offenses of possession of a controlled substance. Appellant entered a plea of guilty to both charges. Based upon an agreed recommendation, the trial court sentenced appellant to eight years confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice–Institutional Division. Appellant filed a general notice of appeal.

Appellant’s appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), presenting a professional

evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and appellant filed a pro se brief on September 19, 2001.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. Moreover, a discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed September 27, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Edelman, and Wittig.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).