

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 5, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01315-CR

MARK CHARLES KAISER, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Criminal Court at Law No. 4
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 99-28996

OPINION

Appellant was found guilty by a jury of the misdemeanor offense of driving while intoxicated. Punishment was assessed by the court pursuant to a plea bargain at confinement for one hundred twenty days in the county jail.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 5, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Amidei and Hudson.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).