Dismissed and Opinion filed October 25, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-01-00154-CR

DOWARD MILLER WOOD, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 23rd District Court Brazoria County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 39,180

OPINION

Appellant pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance. In accordance with the terms of a plea bargain agreement with the State, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for two years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice on January 16, 2001. Because we have no jurisdiction over this appeal, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a timely *pro se* notice of appeal that did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Rule 25.2(b)(3) provides that when an appeal is from a judgment rendered on a defendant's plea of guilty or nolo contendere and the punishment assessed

does not exceed the punishment recommended by the State and agreed to by the defendant, the notice of appeal must: (1) specify that the appeal is for a jurisdictional defect; (2) specify that the substance of the appeal was raised by written motion and ruled on before trial; or (3) state that the trial court granted permission to appeal. *Id.* Appellant's notice of appeal alleged only that he had received ineffective assistance of counsel.

The time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired; thus, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d 408, 413-14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Because appellant's notice of appeal did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3), we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 25, 2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Fowler and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).