

**Affirmed and Opinion filed November 16, 2000.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-99-00540-CR**  
-----

**LEROY DELGADO, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 185th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 732,832**

---

**OPINION**

Appellant was charged by indictment with the offense of murder. After the charge was reduced to aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at confinement for twenty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct.

1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed November 16, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Wittig and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).