

Dismissed and Opinion filed November 21, 2001.



In The
Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-01-01075-CR

MICHAEL RAY JACKSON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 262nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 879,446**

OPINION

Appellant pled guilty to aggravated sexual assault of a child. In accordance with the terms of a plea bargain agreement with the State, on September 26, 2001, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for fifteen years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Because we have no jurisdiction over this appeal, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a pro se general notice of appeal that did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Rule 25.2(b)(3) provides that when an appeal is from a judgment

rendered on a defendant's plea of guilty or nolo contendere and the punishment assessed does not exceed the punishment recommended by the State and agreed to by the defendant, the notice of appeal must (1) specify that the appeal is for a jurisdictional defect; (2) specify that the substance of the appeal was raised by written motion and ruled on before trial; or (3) state that the trial court granted permission to appeal. *Id.* The time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired; thus, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d 408, 413-14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Because appellant's notice of appeal did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3), we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea). Moreover, as part of his plea agreement, appellant waived his right to appeal. *See Alzarka v. State*, No. 14-00-00837-CR, 2001 WL 873602 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] July 26, 2001, pet. filed).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed November 21, 2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Fowler and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).