

Affirmed and Opinion filed December 2, 1999.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-98-01333-CR

ANTHONY WILMER TRIBBLE, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 272nd District Court
Brazos County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 25,688-272**

OPINION

Appellant was indicted for the felony offense of possession of a controlled substance, namely heroin. Appellant pled guilty to the offense and pled true to the first enhancement paragraph. The State waived the second enhancement paragraph. There was no plea agreement on punishment. After hearing evidence from both sides at the punishment hearing, the court assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Department of Criminal Justice for twenty years.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct.

1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed December 2, 1999.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Anderson and Hudson.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).