

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM

This instrument is of poor quality

at the time of imaging



CASE NO. 121236601010

TRN: 9164072509A001

THE STATE OF	ΓEXAS	· · ·	N THE 174 TH DISTRICT	P2			
vs.		§ (
BATISTE, TEI	ODERICK R.						
SID: TX06913956		§ §					
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BY JURY – CAPITAL MURDER							
Judge Presiding:	HON. RUBEN GUERRERO	Date Judgn Entered:	ent 6/23/2011				
Attorney for State:	TRACI BENNETT/ JULIAN RAMIREZ	Attorney fo Defendant:	R.P. CORNELIUS/ GERALD BOURQUE				
Offense for Which D							
Charging Instrument INDICTMENT Date of Offense:		Statute for N/A	Offense:				
4/19/2009 Degree of Offense: CAPITAL MUR	DER	Plea to Offe NOT GU	ILTY				
Verdict of Jury: GUILTY	Verdict of Jury: GUILTY Findings on Deadly Weapon: YES; A. FICERCY						
Plea to 1st Enhancem Paragraph:	nent N/A	Plea to 2 nd Enhan Paragraph:	cement/Habitual N/A				
Findings on 1st Enha Paragraph:	nncement N/A	Findings on 2 nd Enhancement/Ha	oitual Paragraph: N/A	377.07.77.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17			
	unished Assessed by: Date Sentence Imp		bsed: Date Sentence to Commence: 6/23/2011				
Punishment:	DEATH						
Fine: \$ N/A	Court Costs: \$ N/A	Restitution: \$ N/A	Restitution Payable to: N/A				
All pertinent into This cause Counsel / V Defendant appe	formation, names and assessments indicate was called for trial in Harris County Waiver of Counsel (select one) ared in person with Counsel.	ed above are incorporat , Texas. The State a	ed into the language of the judgment below by re ppeared by her District Attorney.				
It appeared instrument. Both particle in INDICTMENT was of record.	to the Court that defendant was men arties announced ready for trial. A juread to the jury, and defendant enter ard the evidence submitted and argu	ntally competent and any of twelve individued a plea to the char ment of counsel. Th	presentation by counsel in writing in open had pleaded as shown above to the charginals was selected, impaneled, and sworn. To ged offense. The Court received the plea are Court charged the jury as to its duty to de	ng The nd entered it etermine the			
guilt or innocence of verdict in the preser The Court in The jury he special issues set out	defendant, and the jury retired to conce of Defendant and defense counsels received the verdict and ORDERED it and evidence relative to the question of	nsider the evidence. entered upon the mi f punishment. The C	Upon returning to open court, the jury del	der the			

that would constitute a continuing threat to society.

∑ Yes (unanimous)

No (by at least 10 jurors)



	e e) Johnson		
defendant's character and l	d a REASONABLE DOUBT that considering all background, and the personal moral culpa ices to warrant that a sentence of life im ors)	ability of the defendant,	that there is a sufficient m	itigating
No (unanimous)				
Special Issues to be included	l if necessary:			
☐ The jury found beyond a	HATY as a party under TEX. PEN. CODE §§ REASONABLE DOUBT that the defendant actu it intended to kill the deceased or another o rs)	ally caused the death of t		lly cause
GUILTY of the above offens The Court ORDERS	Defendant punished as indicated above. The Defendant and that execution will issue	he Court ORDERS that the		
Confinement in Instite County to take, safely convey Defendant to be confined for Defendant's inmate account cited above until the ordered Defendant remanded to the of Death. The Court ORDE deliver Defendant to the Dir accordance with the provisio execution of the said Defend. Criminal Appeals of the Stat Sheriff can obey the direction Execution	utional Division. The Court ORDERS the any, and deliver Defendant to the Director, I the period and in the manner indicated above as such funds become available. The Court I restitution, court fees, costs, and fines are custody of the Sheriff of this county until the CRS the authorized agent of the State of Textector, Institutional Division, TDCJ. Department of the law governing the Texas Department is imposed by this Court after receipt in the of Texas. The Court Orders Defendant rans of this sentence.	nstitutional Division, Tove. The Court ORDERS TO. ORDERS TDCJ to pay su paid in full. Tex. Gov't Cae Sheriff can obey the dires or the Sheriff of this Caefendant shall be confined ent of Criminal Justice, In this Court of mandate of	PDCJ. The Court ORDERS DCJ to make withdrawals from the individual / as the state of the state of the state of the state of this sentence, ounty to take, safely convey, at in said Institutional Division until a daragement of the court of affirmance from the Court of	om the gency RDERS and in late of
The Court Orders Defer		. (1) 4 6 41 41		
	that Defendant is given credit noted above of PRDERS Defendant to pay restitution to the			
	Furthermore, the following special court Finds Defendant USED the Commission of a felong the Officerse and new trait on June 23, 2011			mely in therefice the there is the tenth of
Ntc Appeal Filed: After Mandate Received, Senten Received on 6/24// By: Clerk: A. KITCHEN		AM PM Harris County		
₹ *	et was and a line of the ending of a second of the end		T2 : 2 : 1773	
			Right Thumbprint	