

CAUSE NO. 1102273

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE 208TH DISTRICT COURT
VS.	§	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
DEANDRE DAVEYON HUMPHREY	§	AUGUST TERM, A. D., 2008

Members of the Jury:

The defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder, alleged to have been committed on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, in Harris County, Texas. The defendant has pleaded not guilty.

A person commits the offense of murder if he intentionally or knowingly causes the death of an individual.

A person commits the offense of capital murder if he intentionally commits murder, as hereinbefore defined, in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of robbery or the offense of arson.

Robbery and arson are felony offenses.

A person commits the offense of robbery if, in the course of committing theft, as that term is hereinafter defined, and with intent to obtain or maintain control of property of another, he intentionally or knowingly causes bodily injury to another.

"In the course of committing theft" means conduct that occurs in an attempt to commit, during the commission, or in the immediate flight after the attempt or commission of theft.

"Attempt" to commit an offense occurs if, with specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends, but fails, to effect the commission of the offense intended.

"Theft" is the unlawful appropriation of property with intent to deprive the owner of property.

"Appropriation" and "appropriate", as those terms are used herein, means to acquire or otherwise exercise control over property other than real property. Appropriation of property is unlawful if it is without the owner's effective consent.

"Property" as used herein means tangible or intangible personal property or documents, including money, that represents or embodies anything of value.

"Deprive" means to withhold property from the owner permanently or for so extended a period of time that a major portion of the value or enjoyment of the property is lost to the owner.

"Effective consent" means assent in fact, whether express or apparent, and includes consent by a person legally authorized to act for the owner. Consent is not effective if induced by force, threats or fraud.

"Owner" means a person who has title to the property, possession of property, or a greater right to possession of the property than the defendant.

"Possession" means actual care, custody, control, or management of the property.

A person commits the offense of arson if he starts a fire, regardless of whether the fire continues after ignition, with intent to destroy or damage any vehicle when the person is reckless about whether the burning will endanger the life of some individual or the safety of the property of another.

"Vehicle" includes any device in, on, or by which a person or property is or may be propelled, moved, or drawn in the course of commerce or transportation.

"Bodily injury" means physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition.

"Serious bodily injury" means bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death or that causes death, serious permanent disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ.

The definition of intentionally relative to the offense of capital murder is as follows:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

The definitions of intentionally and knowingly relative to the offense of robbery are as follow:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to circumstances surrounding his conduct when he is aware of the nature of his conduct or that the circumstances exist. A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

The definitions of intentionally and recklessly relative to the offense of arson are as follow:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

A person acts recklessly, or is reckless, with respect to the result of his conduct when he is aware of but consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the result will occur. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that its disregard constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that an ordinary person would exercise as viewed from the defendant's standpoint.

All persons are parties to an offense who are guilty of acting together in the commission of the offense. A person is criminally responsible as a party to an offense if the offense is committed by his own conduct, by the conduct of another for which he is criminally responsible, or by both.

A person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if, acting with intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, he solicits, encourages, directs, aids, or attempts to aid the other person to commit the offense. Mere presence alone will not constitute one a party to an offense.

If, in the attempt to carry out a conspiracy to commit one felony, another felony is committed by one of the conspirators, all conspirators are guilty of the felony actually committed, though having no intent to commit it, if the offense was committed in furtherance of the unlawful purpose and was one that should have been anticipated as a result of the carrying out of the conspiracy.

By the term "conspiracy" as used in these instructions, is meant an agreement between two or more persons with intent, that they, or one or more of them, engage in conduct that would constitute the offense. An agreement constituting a conspiracy may be inferred from acts of the parties.

Before you would be warranted in finding the defendant guilty of capital murder, you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt not only that on the occasion in question the defendant was in the course of committing or attempting to commit the felony offense of robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., as alleged in this charge, but also that the defendant specifically intended to cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr., by igniting a flammable substance; or you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, with the intent to promote or assist in the commission of the offense of robbery, if any, solicited, encouraged, directed, aided, or attempted to aid Deandre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" in causing the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, if he did, with the intention of thereby killing Alex Mitchell, Jr.; or you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on the occasion in question the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, entered into an agreement with Deandre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" to commit the felony offense of robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., as

alleged in this charge, and pursuant to that agreement they did carry out their conspiracy, and while in the course of committing said conspiracy, Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" intentionally or knowingly caused the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and the murder of Alex Mitchell, Jr. was committed in furtherance of the conspiracy and was an offense that should have been anticipated by the defendant as a result of carrying out the conspiracy; or

You must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt not only that on the occasion in question the defendant was in the course of committing or attempting to commit the felony offense of arson, as alleged in this charge, but also that the defendant specifically intended to cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr., by igniting a flammable substance; or you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, with the intent to promote or assist in the commission of the offense of arson, if any, solicited, encouraged, directed, aided, or attempted to aid Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" in causing the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, if he did, with the intention of thereby killing Alex Mitchell, Jr.; or you must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on the occasion in question the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, entered into an agreement with Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" to commit the felony offense of arson, as alleged in this charge, and pursuant to that agreement they did carry out their conspiracy, and while in the course of committing said conspiracy, Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre"

and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" intentionally caused the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and the murder of Alex Mitchell, Jr. was committed in furtherance of the conspiracy and was an offense that should have been anticipated by the defendant as a result of carrying out the conspiracy, and unless you so find, then you cannot convict the defendant of the offense of capital murder.

Now, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit the robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., intentionally cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, in Harris County, Texas, Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony", did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit the robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., intentionally cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, with the intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, if any, solicited, encouraged, directed, aided or attempted to aid Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" to commit the offense, if he did; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, and Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams

also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" entered into an agreement to commit the felony offense of robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., and pursuant to that agreement, if any, they did carry out their conspiracy and that in Harris County, Texas, on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, while in the course of committing such robbery of Alex Mitchell, Jr., Deandre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" intentionally caused the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and the murder of Alex Mitchell, Jr. was committed in furtherance of the conspiracy and was an offense that the defendant should have anticipated as a result of carrying out the conspiracy; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, did then and there while in the course of committing or attempting to commit arson, intentionally cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, in Harris County, Texas, Deandre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony", did then and there while in the course of committing or attempting to commit arson, intentionally cause the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, with the intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, if any, solicited, encouraged, directed, aided or attempted to aid Deandre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford

and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" to commit the offense, if he did; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, and Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" entered into an agreement to commit the felony offense of arson, and pursuant to that agreement, if any, they did carry out their conspiracy and that in Harris County, Texas, on or about the 18th day of December, 2005, while in the course of committing such arson, Deaundre Randall also known as "Dre" and/or Rasiya Thompson and/or Rogers Williams also known as "Tink" and/or Dewayne Champion also known as "Weezy" and/or Olivia Ford and/or Anthony Somerville also known as "Tony" intentionally caused the death of Alex Mitchell, Jr. by igniting a flammable substance, and the murder of Alex Mitchell, Jr. was committed in furtherance of the conspiracy and was an offense that the defendant should have anticipated as a result of carrying out the conspiracy, then you will find the defendant guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the defendant and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

An accomplice, as the term is here used, means anyone connected with the crime charged, as a party thereto, and includes all persons who are connected with the crime by unlawful act or omission on their part transpiring either before or during the time of the commission of the offense, and whether or not they were present and participated in the commission of the crime. A person is criminally responsible as a party to an offense if the offense is committed by his own conduct, by the conduct of another for which he is criminally responsible or by both. Mere presence alone, however, will not constitute one a party to an offense.

A person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if, acting with intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, he solicits, encourages, directs, aids, or attempts to aid the other person to commit the offense. The term "conduct" means any act or omission and its accompanying mental state.

You are instructed that a conviction cannot be had upon the testimony of an accomplice unless the jury first believes that the accomplice's testimony is true and that it shows the defendant is guilty of the offense charged against him, and even then you cannot convict unless the accomplice's testimony is corroborated by other evidence tending to connect the defendant with the offense charged, and the corroboration is not sufficient if it merely shows the commission of the offense, but it must tend to connect the defendant with its commission.

The witness, Dewayne Champion, is an accomplice, if an offense was committed, and you cannot convict the defendant upon his testimony unless you first believe that the testimony of Dewayne Champion is true and that it shows the defendant is guilty as charged in the indictment; and even then you cannot convict the defendant unless you further believe that there is other evidence in the case, outside of the testimony of Dewayne Champion tending to connect the defendant with the offense committed, if you find that an offense was committed, and the corroboration is not sufficient if it merely shows the commission

of the offense, but it must tend to connect the defendant with its commission, and then from all of the evidence you must believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged against him.

You are further instructed that if there is any evidence before you in this case regarding the defendant's committing an alleged offense or offenses other than the offense alleged against him in the indictment in this case, you cannot consider such evidence for any purpose unless you find and believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed such other offense or offenses, if any, and even then you may only consider the same in determining the motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident of the defendant, if any, in connection with the offense, if any, alleged against him in the indictment and for no other purpose.

Our law provides that a defendant may testify in his own behalf if he elects to do so. This, however, is a right accorded a defendant, and in the event he elects not to testify, that fact cannot be taken as a circumstance against him.

In this case, the defendant has elected not to testify and you are instructed that you cannot and must not refer to or allude to that fact throughout your deliberations or take it into consideration for any purpose whatsoever as a circumstance against him.

A Grand Jury indictment is the means whereby a defendant is brought to trial in a felony prosecution. It is not evidence of guilt nor can it be considered by you in passing upon the question of guilt of the defendant. The burden of proof in all criminal cases rests upon the State throughout the trial and never shifts to the defendant.

All persons are presumed to be innocent and no person may be convicted of an offense unless each element of the offense is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The fact that he has been arrested, confined, or indicted for, or otherwise charged with the offense gives rise to no inference of guilt at his trial. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to acquit the defendant, unless the jurors are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

The prosecution has the burden of proving the defendant guilty and it must do so by proving each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant.

It is not required that the prosecution prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the prosecution's proof excludes all reasonable doubt concerning the defendant's guilt.

In the event you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all the evidence before you, and these instructions, you will acquit him and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given their testimony, but the law you shall receive in these written instructions, and you must be governed thereby.

After you retire to the jury room, you should select one of your members as your Foreman. It is his or her duty to preside at your deliberations, vote with you, and when you have unanimously agreed upon a verdict, to certify to your verdict by

using the appropriate form attached hereto and signing the same as Foreman.

During your deliberations in this case, you must not consider, discuss, nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should not consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.

No one has any authority to communicate with you except the officer who has you in charge. After you have retired, you may communicate with this Court in writing through this officer. Any communication relative to the cause must be written, prepared and signed by the Foreman and shall be submitted to the court through this officer. Do not attempt to talk to the officer who has you in charge, or the attorneys, or the Court, or anyone else concerning any questions you may have.

Your sole duty at this time is to determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant under the indictment in this cause and restrict your deliberations solely to the issue of guilt or innocence of the defendant.

Following the arguments of counsel, you will retire to consider your verdict.

FILED
Theresa Chang
District Clerk
OCT 07 2008
Time: 4:25 PM
Harris County, Texas
By: [Signature] Deputy

Denise Collins
Denise Collins, Judge
208th District Court
Harris County, TEXAS

CAUSE NO. 1102273

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE 208TH DISTRICT COURT
 VS. § OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
 DEANDRE DAVEYON HUMPHREY § AUGUST TERM, A. D., 2008

V E R D I C T

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, not guilty."

 Foreman of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreman

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Deandre Daveyon Humphrey, guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment."

Marco Yezpe

 Foreman of the Jury

Marco Yezpe

 (Please Print) Foreman

FILED
 Theresa Chang
 District Clerk
 OCT 07 2008
 Time: *1:28*
 By: *[Signature]*
 Harris County, Texas
 Deputy