

		CASE NO. INCIL) 7-302093 TRN: 90727164	COUNT I		Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas OCT 1 5 2009 At 3 4 0 P. M. Amaila Rodriguez-Mendoza, Clerk
THE STATE (OF TEXAS		§ 2	In Ti	HE 403R]	D DISTRICT	Flied in The District of Travis County, Termonton OCT 1 5 2009
v.			8 8	Cou	RT		In The avis COCT
PAUL GILBERT DEVOE			\$ \$	\$ TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS			Amaila F
STATE ID No.: '	TX07638438		8				
	Ju	JDGMENT	of Co	VICTION	BY JU	RY	
Judge Presiding:	Hon. BREN	DA P. KENNI		oate Judgment Intered:	10/2/2	009	
Attorney for Stat	GARY COE DAYNA L. I JEREMY S			ttorney for defendant:		WEBER JAMES C. E	RICKSON
	n Defendant Convicte	ed:					
CAPITAL MI							
Charging Instrum INDICTMEN				tatute for Offens			
Date of Offense:	<u> </u>		1	9.03 (7)(A) Po	enal Cod	<u>e</u>	
8/24/2007							
Degree of Offense CAPITAL FE	_			lea to Offense: OT GUILTY			
Verdict of Jury: GUILTY				indings on Deadl			
Plea to 1st Enhan				ES, A FIREA			
r iea w 1s Eilhan Paragraph:		/A	Plea to 2 Paragra	^{2nd} Enhancement nh:	/Habitual	N/A	
Findings on 1st E			Finding		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14/11	
Paragraph:		/A	Enhance	ment/Habitual F	aragraph:	N/A	
Punished Assesse		Date Sente	nce Imposed	<u>l:</u>	Date S	entence to Comm	ience:
VERDICT	ED UPON JUR	Y 10/8/200	9		10/8/2	2009	
Punishment and l of Confinement:	Place DEATH	INSTITUTIO	ONAL DI	VISION, TDO	CJ		
	•	THIS SENTENCE	SHALL RUN	CONCURR	ENTLY.		
	NCE OF CONFINEM	ENT SUSPENDED	, DEFENDA	NT PLACED ON	COMMUNIT	Y SUPERVISION	FOR N/A .
Fine:			stitution:	Restitution			
Sex Offender Re	·		V/A	VICTIM	(see below)	☐ AGENCY/A	GENT (see below)
	egistration Require tim at the time of the		phis to rue	Delendant. 1E	x. CODE CRI	A. PROC. chapter (52.
	Defendant is to serve s		ter incarcerat	ion periode in chro	mological orde		
	rom 8/28/2007 to 10/8		to	From	to	<u></u>	
Pime Credited:	rom to	From to	1	From to			
oreanea: <u>If</u>	Defendant is to serve s	entence in county ja	il or is given c	redit toward fine a	nd costs, ente	r days credited belo	ow.
N	/A DAYS NOT	ΓES: N/A					
All pertinent	information, names and	l assessments indica	ted above are	incorporated into t	he language o	f the judgment belo	w by reference.
This caus	e was called for trial	in Travis County	, Texas. Th	e State appeared	l by her Dist	rict Attorney.	
	/Waiver of Counse peared in person wit						
	owingly, intelligently		waivad the	ight to manage	otion has a		

NO. D-1-DC-07-302093

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 403RD DISTRICT

VS. PAUL GILBERT DEVOE

COURT OF

TRAVIS, COUNTY TEXAS

JUDGMENT

On this OCTOBER 2, 2009, this cause was called for trial, and the State appeared by her District Attorney GARY COBB AND DAYNA BLAZEY, and the defendant PAUL GILBERT DEVOE appeared in person in open court, his counsel, TOM WEBER AND JIM ERICKSON, also being present, and the said defendant having been duly arraigned, a plea of NOT GUILTY was entered by the Court to the charge contained in the indictment herein, both parties announced ready for trial and thereupon a jury was selected and seated consisting of OSCAR D. CAZARES FOREPERSON and eleven others who were duly sworn. Thereupon the indictment was read and a plea of NOT GUILTY was entered by the Court to the following charge contained in the indictment and read to the jury by the State:

Capital Murder.

All of the evidence was presented by both the State and the Defendant and the charge was read to the jury by the Court and thereupon the jury heard the arguments of both sides and retired in charge of the proper officer to consider of their verdict and afterward were brought into open following verdict which was received by the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

We, the Jury, find the defendant, <u>PAUL GILBERT DEVOE</u>, guilty of the offense of Capital Murder, as alleged within the indictment.

Signed: OSCAR D. CAZARES_ Foreperson of the jury

And on this OCTOBER 8, 2009 this cause being again called, the State appeared by her District Attorney and the defendant PAUL GILBERT DEVOE appeared in person, his counsel also being present, and the same jury being called to assess the punishment, evidence was presented to the same jury in the matter of assessing punishment. The same jury after hearing all the evidence presented by the State and the defendant for the purpose of assessing punishment, and after having heard argument of counsel, again retired in charge of the proper officer to consider of the verdict, and afterward were again brought into court by the proper officer, the defendant and his counsel being present, and the jury returned into open court the following verdict, which was received the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

NO. D-1-DC-07-302093

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 403RD DISTRICT

VS.

COURT OF

PAUL GILBERT DEVOE

TRAVIS, COUNTY TEXAS

JUDGMENT

On this OCTOBER 2, 2009, this cause was called for trial, and the State appeared by her District Attorney GARY COBB AND DAYNA BLAZEY, and the defendant PAUL GILBERT DEVOE appeared in person in open court, his counsel, TOM WEBER AND JIM ERICKSON, also being present, and the said defendant having been duly arraigned, a plea of NOT GUILTY was entered by the Court to the charge contained in the indictment herein, both parties announced ready for trial and thereupon a jury was selected and seated consisting of OSCAR D. CAZARES FOREPERSON and eleven others who were duly sworn. Thereupon the indictment was read and a plea of NOT GUILTY was entered by the Court to the following charge contained in the indictment and read to the jury by the State:

Capital Murder.

All of the evidence was presented by both the State and the Defendant and the charge was read to the jury by the Court and thereupon the jury heard the arguments of both sides and retired in charge of the proper officer to consider of their verdict and afterward were brought into open following verdict which was received by the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

We, the Jury, find the defendant, PAUL GILBERT DEVOE, guilty of the offense of Capital Murder, as alleged within the indictment.

Signed OSCAR D. CAZARES
Foreperson of the jury

And on this OCTOBER 8, 2009 this cause being again called, the State appeared by her District Attorney and the defendant PAUL GILBERT DEVOE appeared in person, his counsel also being present, and the same jury being called to assess the punishment, evidence was presented to the same jury in the matter of assessing punishment. The same jury after hearing all the evidence presented by the State and the defendant for the purpose of assessing punishment, and after having heard argument of counsel, again retired in charge of the proper officer to consider of the verdict, and afterward were again brought into court by the proper officer, the defendant and his counsel being present, and the jury returned into open court the following verdict, which was received the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

ISSUE NO. ONE

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: Yes_

If your answer to Issue Number 1 is "Yes" then you will answer Issue Number 2; otherwise, you will not answer Issue Number 2.

ISSUE NO. TWO

Taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendants character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, do you find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: No

CERTIFICATION

We, the jury, having agreed upon the answers to the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

We, the jury, having answered the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

It is therefore CONSIDERED and ADJUDGED by the Court that the defendant, PAUL GILBERT DEVOE, is guilty of the offense of Capital Murder as found by the jury, and the jury having further answered,

ISSUE NO. ONE

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: Yes

If your answer to Issue Number 1 is "Yes" then you will answer Issue Number 2; otherwise, you will not answer Issue Number 2.

ISSUE NO. TWO

Taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendants character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, do you find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: No

CERTIFICATION

We, the jury, having agreed upon the answers to the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

ISSUE NO. ONE

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: Yes_

If your answer to Issue Number 1 is "Yes" then you will answer Issue Number 2; otherwise, you will not answer Issue Number 2.

ISSUE NO. TWO

Taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendants character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, do you find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: No

CERTIFICATION

We, the jury, having agreed upon the answers to the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

The law providing that on such jury finding the Court shall assess the death penalty to the defendant.

It is, therefore, the Order of the court that the defendant be punished by having the death penalty assessed against him.

Thereupon the Defendant, PAUL GILBERT DEVOE, was asked by the Court whether he had anything to say why said sentence should not be pronounced against him and he answered nothing in bar thereof, whereupon the Court proceeded, in the presence of said Defendant, <u>PAUL GILBERT DEVOE</u>, to pronounce sentence against him as follows:

Whereas, the defendant has been adjudged to be guilty of capital murder by the jury and the jury having further answered:

ISSUE NO. ONE

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: Yes If your answer to Issue Number 1 is "Yes" then you will answer Issue Number 2; otherwise, you will not answer Issue Number 2.

ISSUE NO. TWO

Taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendants character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, do you find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

In your special verdict, you will answer "yes" or "no". Answer: No

CERTIFICATION

We, the jury, having agreed upon the answers to the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

The law providing that on such jury finding the Court shall sentence the Defendant to death.

It is, therefore, the ORDER of the Court that the Defendant is sentenced to death, but the law further providing for an automatic appeal to the court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas, the sentence is suspended until the decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals has been received by this Court.

The Defendant is now remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Travis County, Texas, to be transported to the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice at Huntsville, Texas, there to await the action of the Court of Criminal Appeals and the further orders of this Court.

Entered this the 15 day of WHOBER A.D., 2009

Judge Presiding

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GARY COBB

Assistant District Attorney

Assistant District Attorney