

THE STATE OF TEXAS		§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT	
VS.		§	WALKER COUNTY, TEXA	s
JERRY DUANE MARTIN STATE ID NO.: TX0398923	34	§	278TH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	T .
•	JUDGMENT OF	CONVICTI	ON BY JURY	
Judge Presiding: Date Judgment Entered:	KENNETH H. 12/17/2009	. KEELING		
Attorney for State: DAVID P. WEEKS		Attorney fo Defendant:	r WILLIAM F. CARTER	
Offense for which Defendan	t Convicted: CAF	PITAL MURI	DER TIME	. 28 an
Charging Instrument: INDICTMENT		Statute for 9	ROBYN	FLOWERS
<u>Date of Offense:</u> 09/24/07			By	Slock
Degree of Offense: CAPITAL	Plea to Offense NOT GUILTY	<u>:</u>	Findings on Deadly Weapon: AFFIRMATIVE	4) 4
Punishment Assessed by: JURY	Date Sentence 12/17/2009	Imposed:	Date Sentence to Commence: 12/17/2009	· .
Punishment and Place of Confinement: DEATH/TEX	XAS DEPARTMEN	T OF CRIMIN	IAL JUSTICE-INSTITUTIONAL DIV	VISION
THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN CONCURRENTLY.				
	t Costs: 385.00	<u>AT</u> 7	TORNEY FEES	1 ,

On this the 12th day of November, A.D. 2009, this cause was called for trial, and the State appeared by her District Attorney, and the defendant, JERRY DUANE MARTIN, appeared in person in open court, his counsel, WILLIAM F. CARTER also being present, and the said defendant having been duly arraigned, entered a plea of NOT GUILTY to the charge contained in the indictment herein, both parties announced ready for trial, and thereupon a jury was selected and seated consisting of James Nash and eleven others who were duly sworn. Thereupon the indictment was read and the defendant entered his plea of not guilty to the following charge contained in the indictment and read to the jury by the State: Capital Murder.

All of the evidence was presented by both the State and the Defendant and the charge was read to the jury by the Court and thereupon the jury heard the arguments of both sides and retired in charge of the proper officer to consider their verdict and afterward were brought into open court by the proper officer, the defendant and his counsel being present, and returned the following verdict which was received by the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

"We, the jury, find the defendant, JERRY DUANE MARTIN, guilty of the offense of capital murder, as alleged in the indictment.

/s/James Nash Foreman

And on this the 1st day of December, A.D. 2009, this cause being again called, the State appeared by her District Attorney and the defendant JERRY DUANE MARTIN appeared in person, his counsel also being present, and the same jury being called to assess the punishment, evidence was presented to the same jury in the matter of assessing punishment. The same jury after hearing all the evidence presented by the State and the defendant for the purpose of assessing punishment, and after having heard argument of counsel, again retired in charge of the proper officer to consider the verdict, and afterward were again brought into court by the proper officer, the defendant and counsel being present, and in due form of law returned into open court the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 1

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt there is a probability that the defendant, JERRY DUANE MARTIN, would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society? **Answer**: Yes

SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 2

Do you find from the evidence, taking into consideration all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence by imposed?

Answer: No

"We, the Jury, having answered the foregoing issues, return the same into court as our verdict.

/s/James Nash Foreman"

It is therefore CONSIDERED and ADJUDGED by the Court that the defendant, JERRY DUANE MARTIN, is guilty of the offense of Capital Murder as found by the jury, and the jury having further answered that there is a probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society; and that the jury having found no mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed; and the law providing that on such jury finding the Court shall assess the death penalty to the defendant;

It is therefore, the ORDER of the Court that the defendant be punished by having the death penalty assessed against him, and he is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff pending further orders herein.