## NO. 2011-CR-5289

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
VS	§	175TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MARK GONZALEZ	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

#### CHARGE OF THE COURT ON PUNISHMENT

#### MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

By your verdict returned in this case, you have found the defendant, Mark Gonzalez, guilty of capital murder, as alleged in the indictment.

It now becomes your duty to consider all the evidence in this case and determine the answers to certain questions which will be set forth for your consideration. The questions will be termed "Issues" in this charge, and must be answered "Yes" or "No"; the punishment to be assessed the defendant will be assessed based on your answers to these issues.

You are instructed that the State must prove Issue No. 1 beyond a reasonable doubt.

In deliberating upon Issue No. 1, you shall consider all evidence admitted at the guilt or innocence stage and the punishment stage, including evidence of the defendant's background or character or the circumstances of the offense that militates for or mitigates against the imposition of the death penalty.

The jury may not answer Issue No. 1 "Yes" unless there is unanimous agreement of the individual jurors upon that answer.

The jury may not answer Issue No. 1 "No" unless ten (10) or

more jurors agree upon that answer.

In determining the answer Issue No. 1 you are instructed that you need not agree on what particular evidence supports a negative answer to the issue.

## Issue No. 1 is:

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant, Mark Gonzalez, would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

Answer: We the jury unanimously find and determine beyond a reasonable doubt the answer to Issue No. 1 is "Yes."

OR

Answer: We the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors have a reasonable doubt as to the probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society, answer Issue No. 1 "No."

You are instructed that if you return a verdict of "No" to Issue No. 1 then you shall cease your deliberations. You are further instructed that if you return a verdict of "Yes" to Issue No. 1, only then are you to answer Issue No. 2.

The jury may not answer Issue No. 2 "No" unless there is unanimous agreement of the individual jurors upon that answer.

The jury may not answer Issue No. 2 "Yes" unless ten (10) or

more jurors agree on that answer.

In determining the answer to Issue No. 2 you are instructed that you need not agree on what particular evidence supports an affirmative finding on the issue and shall consider mitigating evidence to be evidence that a juror might regard as reducing the defendant's moral blameworthiness.

# Issue No. 2 is:

State whether, taking into consideration all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or are sufficient mitigating circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed.

Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine that the answer to Issue No. 2 is "No."

OR

Answer: We, the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors find that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or are sufficient mitigating circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed, answer Issue No. 2 "Yes."

# NO. 2011-CR-5289

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
VS	§	175TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MARK GONZALEZ	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

## VERDICT FORM

# ISSUE NO. 1:

Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that there is a probability that the defendant, Mark Gonzalez, would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine beyond a reasonable doubt that the answer to Issue No. 1 is "Yes."

FOREPERSON LEWAINE BALLARD

OR

Answer: We, the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors have a reasonable doubt as to the probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society, determine that the answer to Issue No. 1 is "No."

FOREPERSON

If you have answered Issue No. 1 "Yes," then answer the following Issue No. 2.

# ISSUE NO. 2:

Taking into consideration all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, is there a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine that the answer to Issue No. 2 is "No."

FOREPERSON ( LWAYN & BALLACI)

OR

Answer: We, the jury, because at least ten (10) jurors taking into consideration all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, find and determine that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed, answer Issue No. 2 "Yes."

FOREPERSON		
しいせいせいせいいい		

We, the Jury, return in open court the above answers as our answers to the Issues submitted to us, and the same is our verdict in this case.

FOREPERSON
LEWAKNE BACKARD

You are instructed that if you answer that a circumstance or circumstances warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment without parole rather than a death sentence be imposed, the court will sentence the defendant to imprisonment in the institutional division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for life without parole. If the defendant is sentenced to confinement for life without parole, he is ineligible for release from the department on parole.

You are instructed that the defendant may testify in his own behalf if he chooses to do so, but if he elects not to do so, that fact cannot be taken by you as a circumstance against him nor prejudice him in any way. The defendant has elected not to testify in this punishment phase of trial, and you are instructed that you cannot and must not refer or allude to that fact throughout your deliberations or take it into consideration for any purpose whatsoever.

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses, and of the weight to be given to the testimony, but you are bound to receive the law from the Court, which is herein given you, and be governed thereby.

Respectfully submitted,

udge Mary Romar

175TH Judicial District

Bexar County, Texas

FILED o'clock 3/M

OCT 19 2015

DONNA KAY MCKINNEY District Clerk, Bexar Chemty, Texas BY MALL MULL Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

The two alternates jurors,

Silvia Franklin and Wortha Rubio

will accompany the jurors into the jury room. The alternate jurors will not participate in any deliberations or in any voting unless they are instructed to do so by this Court. The alternate jurors must attentively listen to all deliberations, as it is always uncertain if and when we might need to utilize one or both of them.

Please consider these instructions and the court's instructions in the punishment charge. Please continue your deliberations.

Judge Mary Román

175<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Bexar County, Texas

O'CLOCK (U) M

OCT 19 2015

DONNA KAY MCKINNEY District Clerk, Bexar Charty, Texas BY ALAL ALLELLE