

CASE No. 2022CRA001335D2 COUNT No. I

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§ 8	IN THE 111 DISTRICT
vs.	8 8	COURT
JOEL DAVID CHAVEZ	8 8	WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS
STATE ID No.: TX19426382	8 §	DOB: 01-22-1997

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BY JURY - CAPITAL MURDER

Judge Presiding:	Hon. MONICA Z. NOTZON	Date Sentence Imposed:	08-11-2023		
Attorney for State:	ROGELIO SOTO	Attorney for Defendant:	ROBERTO BALLI		
	ROBERT GUTIERREZ	Detendant:	ALBERT LONG, JR.		
Offense for Which Defendant Convicted:					
CAPITAL MUR	DER OF MULTILE PERSONS		LERRI ZOZ3		
Charging Instrumen	<u>ıt:</u>	Statute for Offense	ENAL CODE		
INDICTMENT		19.03(a)(7) PE	ENAL CODE		
Date of Offense:		Plea to Offense:			
09-10-2020		NOT GUILTY	NTE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		
Degree of Offense:	,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
FELONY X			200 - A000		
Verdict of Jury:		Findings on Deadl	y Weapon: S ω S		
GUILTY		N/A	v Weapon:		
Punished Assessed l	Date Sentence to C	Commences:	1		
JURY	08-11-2023				
Punishment and Pla	LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE.	TDCI CID	;		
of Confinement:		<u> </u>			
Court Costs:	Reimbursement Fe	ees:			
\$ N/A	\$ N/A				
Restitution:	Restitution Payabl	e to:			
\$ N/A	(See special finding reference.)	g or order of restitutio	on which is incorporated herein by this		
337 41		11 01 0 37	TIC		

Was the victim impact statement returned to the attorney representing the State? YES

This cause was called for trial by jury and the parties appeared. The State appeared by her District Attorney as named above.

Counsel / Waiver of Counsel (select one)

Defendant appeared with counsel.

Defendant appeared without counsel and knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by counsel in writing in open court.

Both parties announced ready for trial. It appeared to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent to stand trial. A jury was selected, impaneled, and sworn. The Indictment was read to the jury, and Defendant entered a plea to the charged offense. The Court received the plea and entered it of record.

The jury heard the evidence submitted and argument of counsel. The Court charged the jury as to its duty to determine Defendant's guilt or innocence, and the jury retired to consider the evidence. Upon returning to open court, the jury delivered its verdict in the presence of Defendant and Defense Counsel.

The Court received the verdict and ORDERED it entered upon the minutes of the Court.

The jury heard evidence relative to the question of punishment. The Court charged the jury and it retired to consider the special issues set out in the jury charge. After due deliberation, the jury was brought into open court, where it returned its answers to the special issues as indicated below:

(1) The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that there is a probability that defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society.

	1 77	/	•	
Δ	Yes	(un	animo	ous

☐ No (by at least 10 jurors)



(2) The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that considering all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment without parole rather than a death sentence be imposed?
Yes (by at least 10 jurors)
No (unanimous)
Special Issues to be included if necessary:
(If Defendant is found GUILTY as a party under Tex. Pen. Code §§ 7.01; 7.02) The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that the defendant actually caused the death of the deceased or did not actually cause the death of the deceased but intended to kill the deceased or another or anticipated that a human life would be taken. Yes (unanimous) No (by at least 10 jurors)
(If Defendant has a mental impairment or defect)
The jury found from a PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE that defendant is a person with: Mental illness Mental retardation
The Court FINDS Defendant committed the above offense and ADJUDGES Defendant GUILTY of the above offense.
The Court PINDS Defendant committed the above offense and ADJUDGES Defendant GUILIY of the above offense. The Court Orders Defendant punished as indicated above. The Court FINDS that the State of Texas is entitled to recover all costs and fees associated with the prosecution of this case from Defendant and may issue execution to recover the same.
Punishment Options Confinement in Institutional Division. The Court Orders the authorized agent of the State of Texas or the County Sheriff to take, safely convey, and deliver Defendant to the DIRECTOR OF THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, TDCJ, for placement in confinement in accordance with this judgment. The Court Orders Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff until the Sheriff can obey the directions of this judgment. The Court Orders TDCJ to make withdrawals from Defendant's immate account as such funds become available. TDCJ is hereby notified that Defendant has been ordered to pay court costs, reimbursement fees, and restitution as indicated above. The Court Orders TDCJ to make withdrawals from Defendant's immate account as such funds become available to pay said court costs, reimbursement fees, and restitution until said amounts are paid in full. Any restitution ordered above shall be paid to the individual or agency indicated above. The withdrawals and payments shall be made in accordance with Section 501.014, Tex. Gov't Code, and TDCJ's policies and procedures, to the extent that such policies and procedures are consistent with Sec. 501.014. Death. The Court Orders the authorized agent of the State of Texas or the Sheriff of this County to take, safely convey, and deliver Defendant to the DIRECTOR OF THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, TDCJ. Defendant shall be confined in said Institutions Division in accordance with the provisions of the law governing TDCJ until a date of execution of the said Defendant is imposed by this Court after receiving the mandate of affirmance from the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. The Court Orders Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of this County until the Sheriff can obey the directions of this judgment. Execution The Court Orders Defendant's sentence Executed.
The Court Orders Defendant's sentence EXECUTED.
The Court ORDERS Defendant to pay the court costs, reimbursement fees, and restitution as indicated above.
Furthermore, the following special findings or orders apply:
The Court enters an affirmative finding that Defendant has been found guilty of a felony.
1. EXHIBIT "A" TO THIS JUDGMENT WHICH INCLUDES THE DEFENDANT'S FINGERPRINTS IS ATTACHED AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE TO THIS JUDGMENT FOR ALL INTENTS AND PUPOSES.
Date Judgment Entered: August, 2023 X

JUDGE PRESIDING

Revised 02/13/2020

CAUSE NO. 2022 CRACO 133502

THE STATE OF TEXAS		§	THE 111 th DI	STRICT COURT
VS.		§	OF WEBB C	OUNTY, TEXAS
JOEL DAVID CHA	4vEZ	§		_ TERM, 20
		EXHIBIT "A"		
I am the defendant Sergeant at Arms in the pre	in the above and sence of the Depu	described cause. ty District Clerk.	My fingerprints are tal	ken by _k the Bailiff and/or
		Defer	ndant	AUG THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE O
ا hereby certify that caused the Defendant,	in accordance with DELDAVID CI	th Article 42.01 of AVEZ , place his	the Texas Core of C fingerprints in the spa	U সান্দ্ৰ riminal ষ্টাইভেdure that I ee provided below.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		on
Sworn to and subsc	ribed before me th	11/1/	and/or Sergeant	$\frac{23}{2}$ by the Defendant,
the Bailiff and/or Sergeant a	, i	n this cause. I fur	ther certify that the fin	gerprints were taken by
	STRIC S	Esthe	ty District Clerk or Degolfado	<u></u>
Grand Control of the			of the District Courts of County, Texas	1
Right Hand Mand	Derecha	TVIrddle		Little
Left Hand- Mano	zquierda			
a tentio	ex	- Widdle	Ring	Little