



CASE No. 2018CRA000852D1
 INCIDENT No./TRN: 9233911209

ESTHER DEGOLLADO
 CLERK OF THE DIST. COURTS
 & COUNTY COURTS AT LAW

THE STATE OF TEXAS

vs.

RONALD ANTHONY BURGOS-
 AVILES

SID: 17387873

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE 49TH DISTRICT **2023 JUL 20 AM 8:25**

COURT

WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS

FILED
 WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS

BY SM DEPUTY

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BY JURY – CAPITAL MURDER

Judge Presiding:	JOSE A. LOPEZ	Date Sentence Imposed:	7/18/2023
Attorney for State:	ISIDRO ALANIZ, MARISELA JACAMAN	Attorney for Defendant:	JOSE EDUARDO PENA, WILLIAM BOGGS

Offense for Which Defendant Convicted:
CAPITAL MURDER OF PERSON UNDER 10 YEARS OF AGE F/X – COUNT I
CAPITAL MURDER OF MULTIPLE PERSON F/X – COUNT II

<u>Charging Instrument:</u> INDICTMENT	<u>Statute for Offense:</u> 19.03(a)(8) and 19.03(a)(7)
--	---

<u>Date of Offense:</u> 4/9/2018	<u>Plea to Offense:</u> GUILTY
--	--

Degree of Offense:
FELONY X (COUNT I and COUNT II)

<u>Verdict of Jury:</u> GUILTY	<u>Findings on Deadly Weapon:</u> N/A
--	---

<u>Punished Assessed by:</u> LIFE W/OUT POSIBILITY OF PAROLE (COUNT I and II)	<u>Date Sentence to Commences:</u> 7/18/2023
---	--

Punishment and Place of Confinement: **LIFE, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FACILITY**

<u>Court Costs:</u> \$ 945.00	<u>Restitution:</u> \$ N/A	<u>Restitution Payable to:</u> N/A (See special finding or order of restitution which is incorporated herein by this reference.)
---	--------------------------------------	--

Was the victim impact statement returned to the attorney representing the State? **YES**

This cause was called for trial by jury and the parties appeared. The State appeared by her District Attorney as named above.

Counsel / Waiver of Counsel (select one)

- Defendant appeared with counsel.
- Defendant appeared without counsel and knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by counsel in writing in open court.

Both parties announced ready for trial. It appeared to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent to stand trial. A jury was selected, impaneled, and sworn. The Indictment was read to the jury, and Defendant entered a plea to the charged offense. The Court received the plea and entered it of record.

The jury heard the evidence submitted and argument of counsel. The Court charged the jury as to its duty to determine Defendant's guilt or innocence, and the jury retired to consider the evidence. Upon returning to open court, the jury delivered its verdict in the presence of Defendant and Defense Counsel.

The Court received the verdict and **ORDERED** it entered upon the minutes of the Court.

The jury heard evidence relative to the question of punishment. The Court charged the jury and it retired to consider the special issues set out in the jury charge. After due deliberation, the jury was brought into open court, where it returned its answers to the special issues as indicated below:

(1) The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that there is a probability that defendant would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society.

- Yes (unanimous)
- No (by at least 10 jurors)



(2) The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that considering all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, that there is a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment without parole rather than a death sentence be imposed?

- Yes (by at least 10 jurors)
 No (unanimous)

Special Issues to be included if necessary:

(If Defendant is found GUILTY as a party under TEX. PEN. CODE §§ 7.01; 7.02)

The jury found beyond a REASONABLE DOUBT that the defendant actually caused the death of the deceased or did not actually cause the death of the deceased but intended to kill the deceased or another or anticipated that a human life would be taken.

- Yes (unanimous)
 No (by at least 10 jurors)

(If Defendant has a mental impairment or defect)

The jury found from a PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE that defendant is a person with:

- Mental illness
 Mental retardation

The Court FINDS Defendant committed the above offense and ADJUDGES Defendant GUILTY of the above offense.

The Court ORDERS Defendant punished as indicated above. The Court FINDS that the State of Texas is entitled to recover all costs of the prosecution from Defendant and may issue execution.

Punishment Options

[X] Confinement in Institutional Division. The Court ORDERS the authorized agent of the State of Texas or the County Sheriff to take, safely convey, and deliver Defendant to the DIRECTOR OF THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, TDCJ, for placement in confinement in accordance with this judgment. The Court ORDERS Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff until the Sheriff can obey the directions of this judgment. The Court ORDERS TDCJ to make withdrawals from Defendant's inmate account as such funds become available. The Court Orders TDCJ to pay such funds to the individual/agency cited above until the ordered restitution and court costs are paid in full. The withdrawals and payments shall be made in accordance with Section 501.014, Tex. Gov't Code.

[] Death. The Court ORDERS the authorized agent of the State of Texas or the Sheriff of this County to take, safely convey, and deliver Defendant to the DIRECTOR OF THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION, TDCJ. Defendant shall be confined in said Institutions Division in accordance with the provisions of the law governing TDCJ until a date of execution of the said Defendant is imposed by this Court after receiving the mandate of affirmance from the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas. The Court Orders Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of this County until the Sheriff can obey the directions of this judgment.

Execution

[X] The Court ORDERS Defendant's sentence EXECUTED.

After having conducted an inquiry into Defendant's ability to pay, the Court ORDERS Defendant to pay the court costs and restitution, if any, as indicated above.

Furthermore, the following special findings or orders apply:

Date judgment entered: July 19, 2023

Signature of Jose A. Lopez, Judge Presiding

JOSE A. LOPEZ
JUDGE PRESIDING


Ntc Appeal Filed: _____ Mandate Rec'd: _____

After Mandate Received, Sentence to Begin Date is: _____

Received on _____ at _____ AM / PM

By: _____, Deputy Sheriff of _____ County

Clerk: _____



Right Thumbprint

CAUSE NO. 2018CRA-00852D1

THE STATE OF TEXAS

§

THE 49TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

§

OF WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS

Ronald Anthony Burgos-Aviles

§

July-Dec. TERM, 2023

EXHIBIT "A"


I am the defendant in the above and described cause. My fingerprints are taken by the Bailiff and/or Sergeant at Arms in the presence of the Deputy District Clerk.

[Signature]
Defendant

I hereby certify that in accordance with Article 42.01 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure that I caused the Defendant, _____, place his fingerprints in the space provided below.


[Signature]
Bailiff and/or Sergeant at Arms

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 18th day of July, 2023, the defendant, _____, in this cause. I further certify that the fingerprints were taken by the Bailiff and/or Sergeant at Arms in my presence.



[Signature]
Deputy District Clerk
ESTHER DEGOLLADO
Clerk of the District Courts of Webb County, Texas
Sarah P. Martel

Right Hand- Mano Derecha



Thumb


Index

Middle

Ring

Little

Left Hand- Mano Izquierda



Thumb

Index

Middle

Ring

Little

